

Miller (NC)	Reynolds	Speier
Miller, Gary	Richardson	Spratt
Mitchell	Rodriguez	Stearns
Mollohan	Rogers (AL)	Stupak
Moore (KS)	Rogers (KY)	Sullivan
Moore (WI)	Rogers (MI)	Tancred
Moran (KS)	Rohrabacher	Tanner
Moran (VA)	Ros-Lehtinen	Tauscher
Murphy (CT)	Roskam	Taylor
Murphy, Patrick	Ross	Terry
Murphy, Tim	Rothman	Thompson (CA)
Murtha	Roybal-Allard	Thompson (MS)
Musgrave	Royce	Thornberry
Myrick	Ruppersberger	Tiahrt
Nadler	Rush	Tiberti
Napolitano	Ryan (OH)	Tierney
Neal (MA)	Ryan (WI)	Towns
Neugebauer	Salazar	Tsongas
Nunes	Sali	Turner
Oberstar	Sánchez, Linda	Udall (CO)
Obey	T.	Udall (NM)
Oliver	Sarbanes	Upton
Ortiz	Saxton	Van Hollen
Pallone	Scalise	Velázquez
Pascarella	Schakowsky	Visclosky
Pastor	Schiff	Walberg
Paul	Schmidt	Walden (OR)
Payne	Schwartz	Walsh (NY)
Pearce	Scott (GA)	Walz (MN)
Pence	Scott (VA)	Wasserman
Perlmutter	Sensenbrenner	Schultz
Peterson (MN)	Serrano	Waters
Peterson (PA)	Sessions	Watson
Petri	Sestak	Watt
Pitts	Shadegg	Waxman
Platts	Shays	Weiner
Poe	Shea-Porter	Welch (VT)
Pomeroy	Sherman	Weldon (FL)
Porter	Shimkus	Weller
Price (GA)	Shuler	Westmoreland
Price (NC)	Shuster	Wexler
Pryce (OH)	Simpson	Whitfield (KY)
Putnam	Skelton	Wilson (NM)
Radanovich	Slaughter	Wilson (OH)
Rahall	Smith (NE)	Wilson (SC)
Ramstad	Smith (NJ)	Wittman (VA)
Rangel	Smith (TX)	Wolf
Regula	Smith (WA)	Woolsey
Rehberg	Snyder	Wu
Reichert	Solis	Yarmuth
Renzi	Souder	Young (AK)
Reyes	Space	Young (FL)

## NOT VOTING—22

Bilbray	Harman	Pickering
Carter	Hastings (FL)	Sanchez, Loretta
Cubin	Hobson	Sires
Everett	Kingston	Stark
Gallely	LaHood	Sutton
Gilchrest	Marchant	Wamp
Gingrey	McCrery	
Gohmert	Miller, George	

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining on this vote.

□ 1329

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the Senate bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## STEPHANIE TUBBS JONES ORGAN TRANSPLANT AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2008

Ms. DEGETTE. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 6469) to amend the Public Health Service Act to authorize increased Federal funding for the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network, with a Senate amendment thereto, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

## MOTION OFFERED BY MS. DEGETTE

Ms. DEGETTE. Madam Speaker, I have a motion at the desk.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. DeGette moves that the House concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 6469.

The text of the Senate amendment is as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

## SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

*This Act may be cited as the "Stephanie Tubbs Jones Organ Transplant Authorization Act of 2008".*

## SEC. 2. INCREASED FUNDING FOR THE ORGAN PROCUREMENT AND TRANSPLANTATION NETWORK.

*Section 372(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 274(a)) is amended by striking "\$2,000,000" and inserting "\$7,000,000".*

## SEC. 3. REPORT.

(a) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall request that the Executive Director of the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network submit to Congress, not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, a report that shall include—*

(1) *the identity of transplant programs that have become inactive or have closed since the heart allocation policy change of 2006;*

(2) *the distance to the next closest operational heart transplant center from such inactivated or closed programs and an evaluation of whether or not access to care has been reduced to the population previously serviced by such inactive or closed program;*

(3) *the number of patients with rural zip codes that received transplants after the heart allocation policy change of 2006 as compared with the number of such patients that received such transplants prior to such heart allocation policy change;*

(4) *a comparison of the number of transplants performed, the mortality rate for individuals on the transplant waiting lists, and the post-transplant survival rate nationally and by region prior to and after the heart allocation policy change of 2006; and*

(5) *specifically with respect to allosensitized patients, a comparison of the number of heart transplants performed, the mortality rate for individuals on the heart transplant waiting lists, and the post heart transplant survival rate nationally and by region prior to and after the heart allocation policy change of 2006.*

(b) *LIMITATION ON FUNDING.—The increase provided for in the amendment made by section 2 shall not apply with respect to contracts entered into under section 372(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 274(a)) after the date that is 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act if the Executive Director of the Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network fails to submit the report under subsection (a).*

The motion was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## GENERAL LEAVE

Ms. DEGETTE. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill just passed by the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

## CHILD SAFE VIEWING ACT OF 2007

Ms. DEGETTE. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Com-

mittee on Energy and Commerce be discharged from further consideration of the Senate bill (S. 602) to develop the next generation of parental control technology, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Colorado?

There was no objection.

The text of the Senate bill is as follows:

S. 602

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

## SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

*This Act may be cited as the "Child Safe Viewing Act of 2007".*

## SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Video programming has a direct impact on a child's perception of safe and reasonable behavior.

(2) Children may imitate actions they witness on video programming, including language, drug use, and sexual conduct.

(3) Studies suggest that the strong appeal of video programming erodes the ability of parents to develop responsible attitudes and behavior in their children.

(4) The average American child watches 4 hours of television each day.

(5) 99.9 percent of all consumer complaints logged by the Federal Communications Commission in the first quarter of 2006 regarding radio and television broadcasting were because of obscenity, indecency, and profanity.

(6) There is a compelling government interest in empowering parents to limit their children's exposure to harmful television content.

(7) Section 1 of the Communications Act of 1934 requires the Federal Communications Commission to promote the safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communications.

(8) In the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Congress authorized Parental Choice in Television Programming and the V-Chip. Congress further directed action on alternative blocking technology as new video technology advanced.

## SEC. 3. EXAMINATION OF ADVANCED BLOCKING TECHNOLOGIES AND EXISTING PARENTAL EMPOWERMENT TOOLS.

(a) *INQUIRY REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Federal Communications Commission shall initiate a notice of inquiry to consider measures to examine—*

(1) *the existence and availability of advanced blocking technologies that are compatible with various communications devices or platforms;*

(2) *methods of encouraging the development, deployment, and use of such technology by parents that do not affect the packaging or pricing of a content provider's offering; and*

(3) *the existence, availability, and use of parental empowerment tools and initiatives already in the market.*

(b) *CONTENT OF PROCEEDING.—In conducting the inquiry required under subsection (a), the Commission shall consider advanced blocking technologies that—*

(1) *may be appropriate across a wide variety of distribution platforms, including wired, wireless, and Internet platforms;*

(2) *may be appropriate across a wide variety of devices capable of transmitting or receiving video or audio programming, including television sets, DVD players, VCRs, cable*